arXiv:1205.1176v2 [math.DG] 13 May 2012

A FOURTH ORDER FAMILY OF MINIMAL SURFACES IN THE 3-SPHERE

JOE S. WANG

ABSTRACT. This is a preliminary note on a family of minimal surfaces in the 3-sphere defined by a compatible fourth order equation. The minimal surfaces are geometrically characterized either by having a surface of revolution like induced metric, or by having a flat structure 3-web. We observe that the structure equation un-couples for a natural choice of frame. The analysis is reduced to the associated curves in the 2-sphere defined by a rational third order ODE on the curvature.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Minimal surfaces in S^3 2
2.1. Structure equation 2
2.2. Prolongation 4
3. Structure 3-web $\mathcal{W}_3$ 5
4. Un-coupled structure equation 7
4.1. Compatibility 7
4.2. Un-coupled structure equation 8
Appendix. Cohomogeneity 1 minimal surfaces in S^3 9
References 11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let g be a Riemannian metric on a two dimensional manifold. Let K be the Gauß curvature of g. Consider the three associated functions $\{K, \, |\nabla K|^2, \, \Delta K\}$, where $|\nabla K|^2$ is the norm square of the gradient and ΔK is the Laplacian of the curvature with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g. The metric g is called *surface of revolution like*(”SRL”) when these functions are functionally dependent, i.e.,

$$dK \wedge d(|\nabla K|^2) = d(|\nabla K|^2) \wedge d(\Delta K) = d(\Delta K) \wedge dK = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

In this note we propose the class of minimal surfaces in the 3-sphere S^3 with SRL induced metric. The analysis shows that the two geometric conditions, the surface being minimal and the induced metric being SRL, are compatible, and that there exist at least locally many such surfaces that satisfy these over-determined conditions.

Results.

1. The local moduli space of minimal surfaces in S^3 with SRL induced metric (”SRL minimal surfaces”) is generally two dimensional.

2. The associated structure 3-web of a SRL minimal surface, whenever defined, is flat.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A10.

Key words and phrases. minimal surface, 3-sphere, surface of revolution like.

The structure 3-web of a minimal surface in S^3 is a set of 3 transversal foliations on the minimal surface defined in terms of the third order jet of the surface. One may regard this as a third order analogue of the pair of principal foliations. See Section 3 for the definition.

A minimal surface with continuous symmetry (which is invariant under a one parameter group of isometries of S^3) necessarily possesses a SRL induced metric.[1] The local moduli space of SRL minimal surfaces is generally two dimensional even modulo the set of minimal surfaces with continuous symmetry.

A notable property of the SRL minimal surfaces is that the structure equation un-couples under a choice of frame, Section 4. This reduces the analysis of the SRL minimal surfaces to the analysis of the associated curves in the 2-sphere defined by a rational third order ODE on the curvature. We currently do not have any examples of compact SRL minimal surfaces without continuous symmetry.

The initial motivation for the present work was to find a minimal surface in S^3 with umbilics which is defined by a closed(Frobenius integrable) structure equation. A numerical experiment of the aforementioned third order ODE on the curvature does not immediately exclude the possibility of umbilics for the SRL minimal surfaces.

The method of moving frames, and exterior differential systems are used throughout the paper. For the standard reference, we refer to [BCG3, IL].

2. MINIMAL SURFACES IN S^3

In this section, we record the basic structure equation for a minimal surface in S^3. In Section 2.1, the moving frame method is applied to determine the adapted structure equation for an immersed oriented minimal surface in S^3. In Section 2.2, we compute the infinite prolongation of the structure equation, Lemma 2.14. The sequence of structure webs associated with the higher order Hopf differentials are defined as the primary local invariant of a minimal surface.

2.1. **Structure equation.**

Let $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}^4$ be the four dimensional Euclidean vector space. Let $\mathrm{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{E}$ be the unit sphere equipped with the induced Riemannian metric. The special orthogonal group SO_4 acts transitively on S^3 as a group of isometry, and $\mathrm{S}^3 = \mathrm{SO}_4/\mathrm{SO}_3$.

Let $\Lambda \to \mathrm{S}^3$ be the S^2-bundle of unit tangent vectors. Let $Gr^+(2,\,\mathbb{E})$ be the Grassmannian of two dimensional oriented subspaces of $\mathbb{E}$. SO_4 acts transitively on both Λ and $Gr^+(2,\,\mathbb{E})$, and there exists the incidence double fibration;

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{SO}_4 \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \\
& \Lambda = \mathrm{SO}_4/\mathrm{SO}_2 \arrow[dl, "\pi_0"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_1"] & \\
\mathrm{S}^3 & & Gr^+(2,\,\mathbb{E}) = \mathrm{SO}_4/(\mathrm{SO}_2 \times \mathrm{SO}_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 2.1. Incidence double fibration

Let us define the projection maps π, π_0, and π_1 in terms of the orthogonal frame. Let $e = (e_0,\, e_1,\, e_2,\, e_3)$ denote the $\mathrm{SO}_4 \subset \mathrm{GL}_4\mathbb{R}$ frame of $\mathbb{E}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(e) &= (e_0,\, e_0 \wedge e_3), \\
\pi_0(e_0,\, e_0 \wedge e_3) &= e_0, \\
\pi_1(e_0,\, e_0 \wedge e_3) &= e_0 \wedge e_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

---

[1] A computation shows that such a minimal surface is either a totally geodesic 2-sphere, Clifford torus, or of cohomogeneity 1. See Appendix.

The SO_4-frame e satisfies the structure equation

$$de_A = \sum_B e_B\,\omega^B_A, \qquad \omega^A_B + \omega^B_A = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

for the Maurer-Cartan form (ω^A_B) of SO_4. (ω^A_B) satisfies the compatibility equation

$$d\omega^A_B + \sum_C \omega^A_C \wedge \omega^C_B = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Consider an immersed oriented surface $\mathrm{x} : M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{S}^3$. By the general theory of moving frames, there exists a lift $\tilde{\mathrm{x}} : M \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ such that $e_0 = \mathrm{x}$, and e_3 is the oriented normal to the surface. Let $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}^*\mathrm{SO}_4 \to M$ be the pulled back bundle with the reduced structure group SO_2. We continue to use (ω^A_B) to denote the pulled back Maurer-Cartan form on $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}^*\mathrm{SO}_4$. From (2.1), (2.2), the initial state of (ω^A_B) on $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}^*\mathrm{SO}_4$ takes the form

$$(\omega^A_B) = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & -\omega^1 & -\omega^2 & \cdot \\ \omega^1 & \cdot & \omega^1_2 & \omega^1_3 \\ \omega^2 & \omega^2_1 & \cdot & \omega^2_3 \\ \cdot & \omega^3_1 & \omega^3_2 & \cdot \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here '$\cdot$' denotes zero, and $\omega^A_0 = \omega^A$, $A = 1,\,2$. By definition, $I = (de_0,\, de_0) = (\omega^1)^2 + (\omega^2)^2$ is the induced Riemannian metric of the immersed surface, where $(\ ,\ )$ is the positive definite inner product of the ambient Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{E}$.

Differentiating $\omega^3_0 = 0$, one gets

$$\omega^3_1 \wedge \omega^1 + \omega^3_2 \wedge \omega^2 = 0.$$

By Cartan's lemma, there exist coefficients h_{AB}, $A, B = 1, 2$, symmetric in indices such that

$$\omega^3_A = \sum_B h_{AB}\,\omega^B.$$

The structure equation shows that the quadratic differential

$$II = \omega^3_A \circ \omega^A = h_{AB}\,\omega^A \circ \omega^B \tag{2.5}$$

is well defined on M. II is the second fundamental form of the immersed surface x.

Definition 2.6. *Given an immersed oriented surface in* S^3, *let* II *be the quadratic differential* (2.5). *The surface is* minimal *when the trace of the quadratic differential* II *with respect to the induced metric* I *vanishes, or equivalently when* $h_{11} + h_{22} = 0$.

From now on, a minimal surface would mean an immersed oriented minimal surface.

Let us introduce the complexified structure equation. This is achieved by an appropriate isomorphism from SO_4 to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_4\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification. Consider the following $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{C}}$-frame.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathbb{C}} &= (e_0,\, E_1,\, E_{-1},\, e_3), \\ E_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - \mathrm{i}\, e_2), \qquad \mathrm{i}^2 = -1, \\ E_{-1} &= \overline{E}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Rewriting (2.2), (2.4) with respect to $e^{\mathbb{C}}$, one gets

$$de^{\mathbb{C}} = e^{\mathbb{C}} \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\omega} & -\frac{1}{2}\omega & \cdot \\ \omega & -\mathrm{i}\,\rho & \cdot & -\overline{h}_2\overline{\omega} \\ \overline{\omega} & \cdot & \mathrm{i}\,\rho & -h_2\,\omega \\ \cdot & \frac{1}{2}h_2\,\omega & \frac{1}{2}\overline{h}_2\overline{\omega} & \cdot \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \omega^1 + \mathrm{i}\,\omega^2, \\ \rho &= \omega^1_2, \\ h_2 &= h_{11} - \mathrm{i}h_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (2.8), the compatibility equations are written as

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= \mathrm{i}\rho \wedge \omega, \\ d\rho &= K\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}, \quad \text{where} \quad K = 1 - h_2\overline{h}_2, \\ dh_2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}h_2\rho &\equiv 0, \quad \mod \omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Here K is the Gauß curvature of the induced metric.

(2.9) shows that the quadratic differential

$$II^{\mathbb{C}} = h_2\,(\omega)^2 \tag{2.10}$$

is well defined on M, and is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure on M defined by the $(1,\,0)$-form ω. The real part of $II^{\mathbb{C}}$ coincides with II in (2.5), and $II^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be considered as a complexification of II.

Definition 2.11. *Given a minimal surface in* S^3, *the holomorphic quadratic differential* $II^{\mathbb{C}}$, (2.10), *is the* Hopf differential. *The zero divisor of* $II^{\mathbb{C}}$ *is the* umbilic divisor.

Example 2.12. *Consider a minimal sphere* $\mathrm{S}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{S}^3$. *Since* S^2 *supports no nonzero holomorphic differentials of positive degree,* $II^{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ *and the structure coefficient* h_2 *vanishes identically. A minimal sphere in* S^3 *is thus necessarily totally geodesic.*

Conversely, it is clear from the structure equation (2.8) *that a minimal surface in* S^3 *with* $h_2 \equiv 0$ *is congruent to the totally geodesic sphere.*

For a compact minimal surface $M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{S}^3$ of genus $g \geq 1$, the umbilic divisor has degree $4g-4$ by Riemann-Roch theorem. In particular, the umbilic divisor of a minimal torus is empty.

2.2. **Prolongation.** Let us introduce the higher order derivatives of the structure coefficient h_2 in (2.9) inductively by

$$dh_i + \mathrm{i}\,ih_i\,\rho = h_{i+1}\,\omega + h_{i,-1}\,\overline{\omega}, \quad i = 2,\,3,\,\ldots. \tag{2.13}$$

The complex conjugate of h_i is denoted by $\overline{h}_i = h_{-i}$, and $\overline{h}_{i,-1} = h_{-i,1}$.

Lemma 2.14.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2,-1} &= 0, \\ h_{i+1,-1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} a_{ij}\,h_{i-j}\,\partial^j K, \quad \textit{for} \quad i \geq 2, \quad \textit{where} \\ a_{ij} &= \frac{(i+j+2)}{2}\frac{(i-1)!}{(i-j-2)!(j+2)!} = \frac{(i+j+2)}{2\,i}\binom{i}{j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here by definition $\partial^j K = \delta_{0j} - h_{2+j}\overline{h}_2 = \delta_{0j} - h_{2+j}h_{-2}$ *for* $j \geq 0$.

Proof. Differentiating dh_i and collecting $\omega \wedge \overline{\omega}$-terms, one gets

$$h_{i+1,-1} = \partial h_{i,-1} + \frac{i}{2}\, h_i\, K,$$

where $\partial h_{i,-1}$ is the ω-component of $dh_{i,-1}$ ($\partial^j K$ is defined similarly). The formula is verified by direct computation. □

Example 2.15. *Consider* $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^2$. *Clifford torus is the minimal surface in* S^3 *defined as the product of circles*

$$\{\, (z_1,\, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \quad |z_1|^2 = |z_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\, \}.$$

The curvature K *of the surface vanishes, and the prolonged structure equation shows that*

$$\partial K = -h_3 h_{-2} = 0.$$

Since the surface is not totally geodesic, this implies $h_3 \equiv 0$.

Conversely, consider a minimal surface in S^3 *such that the structure function* $h_3 \equiv 0$. *By Lemma 2.14,* $\overline{\partial} h_3 = h_2 K \equiv 0$, *and either* $h_2 \equiv 0$*(and* $K = 1$*), or* $K = 0$. *In the latter case, it is well known that the minimal surface is locally equivalent to Clifford torus.*

Let $L = \Omega^{1,0}(M)$ be the holomorphic cotangent bundle of $(1,0)$-forms on M. Let L^k, $k \geq 1$, be the kth tensor product. Similarly as in (2.10), the following formula defines a section of L^k.

$$\Phi_k = h_k\, (\omega)^k, \quad k \geq 2. \tag{2.16}$$

The structure equation shows that Φ_k is generally not holomorphic for $k \geq 3$.

Definition 2.17. *Given a minimal surface in* S^3, *the complex differential* Φ_k *in* (2.16) *is the* order k Hopf differential.

The main subject of the present note is the geometric structures associated with Φ_3, the first (generically) non-holomorphic element in the sequence of complex differentials attached to a minimal surface.

3. STRUCTURE 3-WEB $\mathcal{W}_3$

Definition 3.1. *Let* Φ_k, $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, *be the sequence of Hopf differentials* (2.16) *for a minimal surface in* S^3. *The* structure k-web $\mathcal{W}_k$ *is the set of* k *foliations defined by the* k *transversal line fields*

$$\mathrm{Im}\, \Phi_k = 0,$$

away from the zero locus of Φ_k.[2]

For example, $\mathcal{W}_2$ consists of the foliations by principal curves away from the umbilics.

Compared to the general planar k-webs, the structure web $\mathcal{W}_k$ has the property that the corresponding k line fields divide the tangent plane at each point in k equal angles.

The local geometry of planar k-webs up to diffeomorphism is non-trivial and complicated for $k \geq 4$. It is relatively simple in case $k = 3$, where the primary local invariant is the *web curvature 2-form*. The class of minimal surfaces we are interested in are those for which the web curvature of the structure 3-web $\mathcal{W}_3$ vanishes.

Let us first give a brief analytic derivation of the web curvature of a planar 3-web. We refer the reader to [PP, Ch] for details.

---

[2] We shall ignore the global issues related to a web, such as the global well-definedness of the foliations. Our analysis will be local. One may practically assume $\mathcal{W}_k$ as a set of k transversal line fields.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a planar 3-web locally defined by a set of three 1-forms $\eta^l,\, l=1,\,2,\,3$. Up to scaling, one may arrange so that

$$\eta^1+\eta^2+\eta^3=0. \tag{3.2}$$

There exists a unique 1-form ψ such that

$$d\eta^l=\psi\wedge\eta^l,\;\; l=1,\,2,\,3.$$

The web curvature of $\mathcal{W}$ is the differential 2-form

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}=d\psi.$$

One may check that $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}$ is independent of the choice of η^l's satisfying (3.2). The 3-web $\mathcal{W}$ is called *flat* when $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}$ vanishes.

The minimal surfaces with flat structure 3-web $\mathcal{W}_3$ are analytically characterized as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *Given a minimal surface in* S^3, *assume the third order Hopf differential* Φ_3 *is nonzero and the structure 3-web* $\mathcal{W}_3$ *is well defined. Then* $\mathcal{W}_3$ *is flat when the structure functions of the minimal surface satisfy the single fourth order equation*

$$\Delta_4=h_{-2}h_3^2h_{-4}-h_2h_{-3}^2h_4=0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Assuming $h_3\neq 0$, choose a frame for which $h_3=h_{-3}$ is real. Differentiating $h_3-h_{-3}=0$, one may solve for the connection form ρ,

$$\rho=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{3(h_3+h_{-3})}((h_4-h_{-2}K)\omega-(h_{-4}-h_2K)\overline{\omega}).$$

The web curvature 2-form of $\mathcal{W}_3$ is equal to $d(*\rho)$, where '$*$' is the Hodge star operator acting on 1-forms by $*\omega=-\mathrm{i}\,\omega$, etc. Differentiating $*\rho$, one gets

$$d(*\rho)=\frac{K}{3h_3^2}(h_{-2}h_{-4}-h_2h_4)\omega\wedge\overline{\omega}.$$

We are assuming $h_3\neq 0$, and the Gauß curvature K does not vanish identically. Since the function Δ_4 in (3.4) is an invariant function defined on the minimal surface, $\Delta_4=0$ is equivalent to $h_{-2}h_{-4}-h_2h_4=0$ for this particular choice of frame. $\square$

Definition 3.5. *A minimal surface in* S^3 *is a* Δ_4-null *surface when the structure functions* h_i*'s satisfy the polynomial equation* (3.4).

Note that $h_{-2}h_3^2h_{-4}$ is a well defined complex function on a minimal surface. The Δ_4-null condition states that it is real valued.

Corollary 3.6. *A minimal surface in* S^3 *is a* Δ_4*-null surface whenever the induced metric is SRL.*

Proof. $d(h_2h_{-2})\wedge d(h_3h_{-3})=\Delta_4\,\omega\wedge\overline{\omega}$. $\square$

The class of Δ_4-null surfaces contains the totally geodesic sphere, and Clifford torus. Although the equation Δ_4 was derived from $\mathcal{W}_3$, which required $h_3\neq 0$, Δ_4 itself is a polynomial in h_i's and is well defined for the general minimal surfaces.

Example 3.7. *A minimal surface in* S^3 *is invariant under a one parameter subgroup of the ambient isometry* SO_4 *whenever its Gauß map linearly degenerates, Appendix. Such minimal surfaces are analytically characterized by the pair of fourth order equations*

$$\Delta_4^+=2\,h_2h_{-3}^2h_4-h_3h_{-3}(3\,h_3h_{-3}+2\,h_2h_{-2}\,K)=0,\qquad \Delta_4^-=\overline{\Delta_4^+}=0. \tag{3.8}$$

$\Delta_4^+-\Delta_4^-+2\Delta_4=0$, *and the class of* Δ_4*-null surfaces more generally contains the surfaces with continuous symmetry as expected.*

The fourth order equation $\Delta_4 = 0$ imposed on a minimal surface is over-determined; a computation shows that in a neighborhood of a generic point of a Δ_4-null surface all of the $h_{\pm i}$'s for $i \geq 5$ are determined a priori as rational functions of $\{ h_{\pm 2},\, h_{\pm 3},\, h_{\pm 4} \}$. In this over-determined situation, one generally do not expect that there exist many non-trivial solutions.

For the class of Δ_4-null surfaces under consideration, we shall see in the next section that locally, even modulo the minimal surfaces with continuous symmetry, there exist two parameter family of distinct Δ_4-null surfaces.

4. UN-COUPLED STRUCTURE EQUATION

In this section we initiate the analysis of the Δ_4-null surfaces. In section 4.1, the basic structural existence result is established, which roughly states that an arbitrary non-degenerate fourth order jet satisfying $\Delta_4 = 0$ uniquely determines a local Δ_4-null surface. In Section 4.2, we show that for a natural choice of frame the structure equation for Δ_4-null surfaces un-couples. The ensuing analysis is essentially reduced to the description of an associated curve in the 2-sphere whose curvature satisfies a third order ODE.

4.1. **Compatibility.** Recall $\Delta_4 = h_{-2} h_3^2 h_{-4} - h_2 h_{-3}^2 h_4$. Differentiating $\Delta_4 = 0$, and assuming $h_2 h_3 \neq 0$, one may solve for $h_{\pm 5}$.

$$h_5 = \frac{4\, h_{-2} h_3 h_4 h_{-4} + h_4 h_{-3}(-2\, h_{-3} h_3 - 4\, h_2 h_{-2} K) + h_{-2} h_3^2 h_{-3}(7K-2)}{2 h_{-3}^2 h_2}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$h_{-5} = \overline{h}_5.$$

One may then substitute these into the structure equations for $dh_{\pm 4}$. Differentiating these equations again, one gets an identity, i.e., the exterior derivatives do not give any new equations. The fourth order equation $\Delta_4 = 0$ is in this way compatible with the underlying minimal surface equation.

The following existence result is a direct application of the Cartan's generalization of Lie's third fundamental theorem, [Br, Appendix].

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $(h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4)$ *be the standard coordinate of the three dimensional complex vector space* $\mathbb{C}^3$*. Let the set of unit complex numbers* S^1 *acts on* $\mathbb{C}^3$ *by* $(h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4) \to (\epsilon^2 h_2,\, \epsilon^3 h_3,\, \epsilon^4 h_4)$ *for* $\epsilon \in \mathrm{S}^1$*. Let* $\Delta_4, \Delta_4^{\pm} : \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ *be the polynomial functions defined by* (3.4), (3.8). *Let* $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \Delta_4^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ *be the zero set. Since* Δ_4 *is invariant under the* S^1 *action, let* $\mathcal{H} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}/\mathrm{S}^1$ *be the quotient space. Similarly let* $\Delta_4^{\pm}$ *denote the induced functions on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Let* $[h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4]$ *denote the point in* $\mathcal{H}$ *representing the equivalence class of* $(h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4)$*.*

Let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}$ *be a smooth point so that* $\mathcal{H}$ *is a smooth four dimensional quotient manifold in a neighborhood of* $\mathfrak{h}$*. Suppose* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is non-degenerate such that* $h_2,\, h_3; \Delta_4^{\pm} \neq 0$ *at* $\mathfrak{h}$ *(with an abuse of notation). Then there exists a neighborhood* $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $\mathfrak{h}$ *which is foliated by the two dimensional surfaces each of which is the natural image of the structure functions of a* Δ_4*-null surface. In particular,* $\mathfrak{h}$ *determines a unique germ of a* Δ_4*-null surface in* S^3 *up to motion by* SO_4*. The local moduli space of* Δ_4*-null surfaces has general dimension (*$\dim \mathcal{H}) - (\text{dim of leaves}) = 4 - 2 = 2$*.*

Proof. Let $M \subset \mathrm{S}^3$ be a supposed Δ_4-null surface such that $[h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4](p) = \mathfrak{h}$ for a reference point $p \in M$. The condition $h_2,\, h_3; \Delta_4^{\pm} \neq 0$ at $\mathfrak{h}$ ensures that the rank of the natural map $[h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4] : M \to \mathcal{H}$ is two at p. We omit the rest of details. See [Br, Appendix]. □

Remark 4.3. *Along the locus* $\Delta_4^{\pm} = 0$*, the surfaces with continuous symmetry case, the rank of the natural map* $[h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4]$ *drops to one. The similar analysis as above then shows that the local moduli space of the minimal surfaces with continuous symmetry is also generally two dimensional. See Appendix.*

4.2. **Un-coupled structure equation.** The defining equation (3.4) of a Δ_4-null surface represents a relation among the phases of the complex coefficients $h_2,\, h_3,\, h_4$. This suggests to choose a local frame such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= a\exp(\mathrm{i}\phi),\\ h_3 &= b\exp(\mathrm{i}\phi),\\ h_4 &= c\exp(\mathrm{i}\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $a,\, b,\, c;\, \phi$ are real coefficients.

Recall $\omega = \omega^1 + \mathrm{i}\,\omega^2$. Under the substitution (4.4), the prolonged structure equations from Lemma 2.14 and (4.1) imply that (assuming $ab \neq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} da &= b\omega^1,\\ db &= (c - a^3 + a)\omega^1,\\ dc &= \Big(\frac{2c^2}{b} + \frac{\left(-b^2 + 2\,a^4 - 2\,a^2\right)c}{ba} + (5b - 7ba^2)\Big)\omega^1;\\ d\phi &= \frac{\left(-2\,ca + 3\,b^2 - 2\,a^4 + 2\,a^2\right)}{ba}\omega^2,\\ \rho &= \frac{\left(ca - b^2 + a^4 - a^2\right)}{ba}\omega^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

From the structure equation, $d\omega^1 = -\rho{\wedge}\omega^2 = 0$ and one may write $\omega^1 = ds$ for a local parameter s. Then $a,\, b,\, c$ are functions of s. Assume $\Delta_4^{\pm} = -2\,cb^2a + b^2(3\,b^2 - 2\,a^4 + 2\,a^2) \neq 0$. The equation for $d\phi$ above gives $\omega^2 = r(s)d\phi$ for $r(s) = \frac{ba}{(-2\,ca+3\,b^2-2\,a^4+2\,a^2)}$. Taking $\{s,\, \phi\}$ as the local independent parameters of the surface, the induced metric is expressed in the warped product form

$$g = (ds)^2 + r(s)^2(d\phi)^2. \tag{4.6}$$

4.2.1. e_1-*curves.* Recall $\{e_1,\, e_2\}$ is the dual tangent frame of $\{\omega^1,\, \omega^2\}$. From the equation (4.5), the connection form ρ is a multiple of ω^2. This implies that each leaf of the e_1-foliation is a geodesic of the minimal surface.

Following the notation from Section 2.1, set

$$\mathrm{v} = (\mathrm{v}_0,\, \mathrm{v}_1,\, \mathrm{v}_2,\, \mathrm{v}_3) = (e_0,\, e_1,\, e_3,\, e_2). \tag{4.7}$$

Then the Frenet equation of an e_1-curve takes the form

$$d\mathrm{v} \equiv \mathrm{v}\begin{pmatrix} \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & \cdot & -a\cos(\phi) & \cdot \\ \cdot & a\cos(\phi) & \cdot & -a\sin(\phi) \\ \cdot & \cdot & a\sin(\phi) & \cdot \end{pmatrix}\omega^1, \quad \mathrm{mod}\ \ \omega^2. \tag{4.8}$$

When $\phi = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$, the curvature $a\cos(\phi) = 0$ and the curve becomes a part of a great circle in S^3. When $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ on the other hand, the torsion $a\sin(\phi) = 0$ and the curve becomes a planar curve in a great 2-sphere $\mathrm{S}^2 \subset \mathrm{S}^3$. As the parameter ϕ varies, the leaves of the geodesic e_1-foliation oscillate between these two extreme cases. The first step in the analysis of the Δ_4-null surfaces would be to understand the planar case when $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$, where the curvature a of the curve satisfies the third order ODE given by the first three equations of (4.5).

APPENDIX. COHOMOGENEITY 1 MINIMAL SURFACES IN S^3

We shall give an analytic characterization of the minimal surfaces in S^3 with continuous symmetry. Other than the totally geodesic 2-sphere, and Clifford torus, they are of cohomogeneity 1. For the general classification of the minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity in a sphere, see [HsL]. We follow the notation from Section 2.

Structure equation for the Gauß map. Let $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{R}^4$ with a fixed orientation. Let $\bigwedge^2\mathbb{E}$ be the space of 2-vectors. It decomposes under the action of SO_4 as

$$\bigwedge^2\mathbb{E}=\bigwedge^2_+\mathbb{E}\oplus\bigwedge^2_-\mathbb{E}, \tag{A-1}$$

the subspace of self dual, and anti-self dual 2-vectors. The induced representation of SO_4 gives the 2 to 1 covering homomorphism $\mathrm{SO}_4\to\mathrm{SO}_3\times\mathrm{SO}_3$. The decomposition (A-1) also gives the isomorphism

$$Gr^+(2,\,\mathbb{E})=\mathrm{S}^2_+\times\mathrm{S}^2_-, \tag{A-2}$$

where $\mathrm{S}^2_\pm\subset\bigwedge^2_\pm\mathbb{E}$ is the unit sphere.

Definition A-3. *Let* $\mathrm{x}:M\hookrightarrow\mathrm{S}^3\subset\mathbb{E}$ *be a minimal surface. Let* $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}:M\hookrightarrow\Lambda$ *be the associated lift to the unit tangent bundle of* S^3 *such that* $e_0=\mathrm{x}$, *and* e_3 *is normal to the surface (Section 2, Figure 2.1). The* Gauss map γ *of* x *is defined by*

$$\gamma=\pi_1\circ\tilde{\mathrm{x}}=e_0\wedge e_3:\,M\hookrightarrow Gr^+(2,\,\mathbb{E}).$$

The self dual, and the anti-self dual part of γ are given by

$$\gamma_\pm=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(e_0\wedge e_3\pm e_1\wedge e_2):\,M\to\mathrm{S}^2_\pm,$$

and the Gauss map decomposes into $\gamma=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\gamma_++\gamma_-)$ as an $\bigwedge^2\mathbb{E}$-valued function.

Let $\bigwedge^2\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{C}}=(\bigwedge^2\mathbb{E})\otimes\mathbb{C}$ be the complexification. Set the $\bigwedge^2\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{C}}$-frame by

$$\begin{aligned} v_0&=e_0\wedge e_3-2\,\mathrm{i}\,E_1\wedge E_{-1}, & w_0&=e_0\wedge e_3+2\,\mathrm{i}\,E_1\wedge E_{-1},\\ v_1&=E_1\wedge(e_3+\mathrm{i}e_0), & w_1&=E_1\wedge(e_3-\mathrm{i}e_0),\\ v_{-1}&=E_{-1}\wedge(e_3-\mathrm{i}e_0), & w_{-1}&=E_{-1}\wedge(e_3+\mathrm{i}e_0), \end{aligned} \tag{A-4}$$

so that $\gamma_+=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,v_0,\ \gamma_-=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,w_0$.

Proposition A-5. *The self dual part of the Gauss map satisfies the following structure equation.*

$$\begin{aligned} dv_0&=v_1\,\alpha_1+v_{-1}\alpha_{-1},\\ dv_1+\mathrm{i}\,v_1\,\alpha_0&=-\frac{1}{2}\,v_0\alpha_{-1},\\ v_{-1}&=\overline{v_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{A-6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1&=\omega-\mathrm{i}\,h_{-2}\,\overline{\omega},\\ \alpha_{-1}&=\overline{\alpha_1},\\ \alpha_0&=\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{A-7}$$

The frame $\{\,w_0,\,w_1,\,w_{-1}\,\}$ *for the anti-self dual part* γ_- *satisfies the analogous equation with the* α*-forms replaced by the following* β*-forms.*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1&=\omega+\mathrm{i}\,h_{-2}\,\overline{\omega},\\ \beta_{-1}&=\overline{\beta_1},\\ \beta_0&=\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{A-8}$$

Proof. Direct computation. □

Note both of the structure forms satisfy

$$d\alpha_1 = \mathrm{i}\,\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_1, \qquad d\beta_1 = \mathrm{i}\,\beta_0 \wedge \beta_1,$$
$$d\alpha_0 = d\beta_0 = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_{-1} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\beta_1 \wedge \beta_{-1}.$$

Degenerate Gauß map. Let $\mathrm{x} = e_0 : M \hookrightarrow \mathrm{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{E}$ be a minimal surface. Let $\sigma = a_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j \in \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{E}$ be a (constant) 2-vector which we identify as an element of the Lie algebra of SO_4. σ acts as a Killing field on S^3 by

$$e_0 \to a_{ij}(\langle e_j, e_0\rangle e_i - \langle e_i, e_0\rangle e_j).$$

Suppose the Killing field defined by σ is a symmetry of the minimal surface. Then one must have

$$a_{ij}(\langle e_j, e_0\rangle e_i - \langle e_i, e_0\rangle e_j) \equiv 0, \quad \mod\ e_1, e_2.$$

This is equivalent to $a_{30} = -a_{03} = 0$, i.e., σ as a 2-vector is orthogonal to the Gauß map. A minimal surface is invariant under a one parameter subgroup of isometry of SO_4 when its Gauß map linearly degenerates.

We record below the computation to determine the rank of the image of Gauß map. Let us introduce the notation $\mathrm{p}_j = v_j + w_j,\ \mathrm{q}_j = v_j - w_j,\ j = 0, \pm 1$. In the following, the complex conjugate of an equation is implicitly assumed.

`Step 1.` Differentiating the Gauß map (up to a constant scale) $\mathrm{p}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0$, one gets

$$\partial\mathcal{E}_0 \equiv \mathrm{p}_1 + \mathrm{i}\,h_2\,\mathrm{q}_{-1} = \mathcal{E}_1, \quad \mod\ \ \mathcal{E}_0. \tag{A-9}$$

Note that one may solve for $\mathrm{p}_1, \mathrm{p}_{-1}$ from $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_{-1}$, and the Gauss map of a minimal surface in S^3 has rank at least 3.

`Step 2.` Differentiating $\mathcal{E}_1$ and evaluating modulo $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{\pm1}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\mathcal{E}_1 &= \overline{\bar\partial\mathcal{E}_{-1}} \equiv \mathrm{i}\,(h_3\,\mathrm{q}_{-1} - h_2\,\mathrm{q}_0) = \mathcal{E}_2, \\ \bar\partial\mathcal{E}_1 &= \overline{\partial\mathcal{E}_{-1}} \equiv 0, \qquad\qquad \mod\ \ \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{\pm1}. \end{aligned} \tag{A-10}$$

• *case* $h_2 \equiv 0$. In this case, $h_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$, and the minimal surface is a part of a totally geodesic sphere. $\mathcal{E}_2 = 0$, and the Gauss map has rank 3.

• *case* $h_2 \neq 0,\ h_3 \equiv 0$. In this case, the minimal surface is a part of Clifford torus. q_0 is real and $\mathcal{E}_2$ is proportional $\mathcal{E}_{-2}$. A computation shows that $\partial\mathcal{E}_2 \equiv 0, \quad \mod\ \ \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{\pm1}, \mathcal{E}_2$, and the Gauss map has rank 4.

`Step 3.` Assume h_3(and necessarily h_2 also) is not identically zero. Then one may solve for $\mathrm{q}_1, \mathrm{q}_{-1}$ from $\mathcal{E}_{-2}, \mathcal{E}_2$, and the Gauss map of a minimal surface other than the totally geodesic 2-sphere and Clifford torus has rank at least 5.

Differentiating $\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_{-2}$ and evaluating modulo $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{\pm1}, \mathcal{E}_{\pm2}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\mathcal{E}_2 &\equiv \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\,h_3 h_{-3}}\Delta_4^+\,\mathrm{q}_0, \\ \bar\partial\mathcal{E}_2 &= \overline{\partial\mathcal{E}_{-2}} \equiv 0, \\ \bar\partial\mathcal{E}_{-2} &\equiv -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\,h_3 h_{-3}}\Delta_4^-\,\mathrm{q}_0, \quad \mod\ \ \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_{\pm1}, \mathcal{E}_{\pm2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A-11}$$

The analysis in the previous steps combined imply that the vanishing of $\Delta_4^\pm$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for the Gauß map of a minimal surface in S^3 to linearly degenerate.

Given a minimal surface with $\Delta^{\pm}_4=0$, assume $h_2,\,h_3$ are nonzero. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}&=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\frac{(h^3_{-2}h^2_3-h^3_2h^2_{-3})}{(h_2h_{-2})^{\frac{3}{2}}(h_3h_{-3})},\\ \mathrm{J}&=\frac{1}{8}\frac{\big(h_3h_{-3}+4\,h_2h_{-2}+4\,h^2_2h^2_{-2}\big)}{(h_2h_{-2})^{\frac{3}{2}}},\quad \mathrm{J}\geq 1.\end{aligned}\tag{A-12}$$

A direct computation shows that $d\mathrm{I}=0,\ d\mathrm{J}=0$, and $\{\,\mathrm{I},\,\mathrm{J}\,\}$ are generically independent first integrals for the $\Delta^{\pm}_4$-null minimal surfaces. A similar analysis as in Proposition 4.2 then shows that the local moduli space of $\Delta^{\pm}_4$-null surfaces is generally two dimensional.

REFERENCES

[Br] Bryant, R.L., *Bochner-Kähler metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14, no. 3 (2001), 623-715

[BCG3] Bryant, R.L., Chern, S.-S., Gardner, R.B., Goldschmidt, H.L., & Griffiths, Phillip, Exterior differential systems. MSRI Publications, 18. Springer-Verlag, 1991

[BB] Blaschke, W.; Bol, G., Geometrie der Gewebe, Topologische Fragen der Differentialgeometrie, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. XLIX, 1938

[Ch] Chern, S. S., *Web geometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 6, no. 1 (1982), 1-8

[HsL] Hsiang, Wu-yi; Lawson, B., *Minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity*, J. Differential Geometry, 5 (1971), 1-38

[IL] Ivey, Thomas A.; Landsberg, J. M., Cartan for beginners, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 61. AMS, 2003

[PP] Pereira, J. V.; Pirio, Luc, An invitation to web geomety - From Abel's addition theorem to the algebraization of codimension one webs, IMPA Mathematical Publications, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2009

[Ya] Yamada, Kotaro, *Minimal tori in* S^3 *whose lines of curvature lie in* S^2, Tokyo J. Math. 10 (1987), 215-226

SEOUL, COREA
E-mail address: `jswang12@gmail.com`